Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP80-01370R0050095-8

CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

25X1A

: Chief, Budget Division FROM

Obligations and Expenditures under the BUBJECT: Building Appropriation.

1. Mr. Hamilton called and asked for estimates of obligations and expenditures during the Fiscal Years 1956 and 1957 under the Building Approthe following estimates were priation. After discussions with furnished to Mr. Hemilton:

1956 1957 Obligations Expenditures Obligations Expenditures FY 1956 Current Appropriation (\$5.5 million): Architect & Engineering.... \$3,000,000 \$ 750,000 \$ 1,750,000 250,000 2,250,000 Roads & Site Improvement... 2,500,000 Appropriation during FY 1957 (\$49 million): 43,000,000 8,600,000 * Building 6,000,000 2,000,000 Roeds & Site Improvement ... TOTAL \$5,500,000 \$1,000,000 \$49,000,000 \$14,600,000

It was estimated that the contract for the construction would be entered into on 1 January 1957 and the construction would be completed by 1 January 1959. It is requested that the Bureau of the Budget estimate these expenditures on the actual construction of the building based on prior experience and building construction expenditures. This was agreed to. In subsequent discussions with Mr. Hamilton, he indicated that they had estimated that \$8.6 million would be disbursed during FY 1957 if the contract was entered into by 1 January 1957.

2. The above information had been requested by Mr. Hamilton in connection with some overall expenditure figures being prepared in the Bureau. 25X1A

BD/COMP/CHM/har Bistribution:

CONFIDENTIAL 198 79 ALY BAYE 13-5-81 BY ON 6199 10 38 ME 01 √1 - Comptroller

2 - Approved For Release 2002/05/07: CIA-RDP80g01370R0005000500050095-8 828 CLASS C 1 - Building file

JUST 22 MENT HEY 2011 AUTH: NA 10-2

JONM

MUMORARIAM FOR THE RECOFD!

SUBJECT: Steps to be taken in the Sith Congress, 2nd Session, to secure the remaining funds for the construction of the new CIA headquarters installation.

I. ASSUMPTION

Inspector General, dated 4 August 1955, requesting a memorandum outlining the stope to be taken with the Congress in connection with securing appropriations for the construction of the new CIA headquarters installation. It is assumed that the construction will be undertaken within the present total authorization of \$54,500,000, and that no attempt will be under to increase that authorization. Proceeding on this assumption, all that will remain to do during the Second Session of the 84th Congress will be to secure the appropriations required to carry on or complete the construction.

II. THE AMOUNT OF MOMEY ENQUIRED

- Under the provisions of the Military Construction Act of 1955 (P.L. 161), CIA was authorized \$54,500,000 for the purpose of constructing its headquarters installation. Preliminary funds for planning and other purposes in the amount of \$5,500,000 were provided in the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 1956. This weans that it will be necessary to secure an additional \$49,000,000 in appropriations from the Congress in order to complete the construction, provided the installation is located at langley, Virginia. If CIA does not locate its new headquarters installation at langley, Virginia, the over-all authorization will be reduced by the \$8,500,000 which was authorized for the construction of the extension of the George Washington Assorial Farkway to the Langley site, but an additional sum of not to exceed \$350,000 may be utilized for the purchase of land for a site. As a technical matter, if CIA does not locate at Langley, the appropriation to be requested would be in the amount of \$43,000,000 with the \$350,000 coming out of the \$2,500,000 already authorized for expenditure "for other purposes" authorized by Title IV of P.L. 161.
- 3. A decision must be reached as to whether CIA will seek, in the forthcoming session of the Congress, all of the remaining soney which it requires to complete construction, or will only request such portion of the required funds as can foreseeably be contracted for or expended during fiscal Year 1957. From the standpoint of CIA, it would be preferable to request all of the remaining funds at once. This is standard practice

boc_2	30_ RE	V DATE /	3-5-8	/	BY 🗪	619	99
ORIG CO	MP	OPI	38	T	YPE _	0/	· <u>-</u>
	ASS: M						
JUST		NEXT REV			AUTH:	HR	70-2

in government construction, as the money remains available until expended. Because of the probability that the entire amount will not be utilized in F/Y 57, however, the Bureau of the Budget and the Administration may desire that CIA request only a portion of the remaining funds during the Second Session. If this is so, we will have to determine how much we feel can actually be expended or contracted for during fiscal year 1957, and request appropriations in that amount.

4. It may also be necessary to obtain specific appropriation language to permit expenditures for the construction of bank, barber, drug store, or similar facilities. The General Counsel is studying this point, but there has, as yet, been no decision as to whether these facilities will be included.

III. WHERE THESE FUNDS SHOULD BE LOCATED

5. The next problem is where CIA construction funds should be placed in the Budget. Because of the manner in which the CIA funds were set up for the building project in the Treasury, and because for purpose of this account CIA is carried in the Executive Office of the President, the Bureau of the Budget had originally contemplated placing the request for the additional CIA construction funds in the apprepriations for General Government Matters. It has been pointed out to the Bureau of the Buildet that these funds should be located in the Budget in such a manner that they will automatically come before the Defence Appropriations Subcommittees. This would not be the case were they to be included in Coneral Government Natters, which has a special appropriations subcommittee of its own. It would appear necessary to include the CIA building appropriation request either in the appropriation bill for the Department of Defense, or in the military construction appropriations request. The latter would be included in a Supplemental Apprepriations bill. STATINTL

the House take place in March. This is fairly early in the military hearings, as the final bill is usually not reported out until May. If our construction funds are also to be in the Defence Appropriations Bill, we will have to request the Committee Chairman to hold separate construction fund hearings sometime in April, as BD/S-feels that we will not be ready to make a presentation until 1 April 1956.

7. If CIA continues to carry its construction funds as a part of the military construction program (by which legislation the funds were originally authorized), one must bear in mind that the military construction bill is one of the last bills to be processed through the

Appropriations Committees, and that the appropriations for these purposes been rather late in the session. For example, in 1955, the military construction bill itself was not introduced until 20 April, and hearings by the appropriations commenced in June. The House Appropriations Condittee, however, expects that this bill will be sent up in March, 1956, so that more timely action can be had. It will be recalled that the CIA appropriation request for construction funds was not formally submitted to the Congress by the President until 1 July 1955, although actually the House Appropriations hearing on the CIA building funds was hald at the end of June.

8. It would appear from the above that, from the standpoint of timing and avoiding the last minute rush for adjournment with its attendant attempts to cut back the budget on Capital Hill, it would be preferable if our construction funds were included in the regular appropriations

STATINTL

the same bill. This problem has been met in part by the behave around Services Committee Report in commettee with the authorization for the building. The Report stated that "This authorization has been included in the military construction bill since the Armed Services Committees have jurisdiction ever matters of substantive legislation affecting the Control Intelligence Agency." Similar language could cover the situation again.

IV. PACTS REQUIRED FOR PERSENTATION

9. As indicated above, 10/8 feels that CIA should be ready to make an adequate presentation in support of its additional funds for construction purposes by 1 April 1956. We must be absolutely certain that such is, in fact, the case; for to make a partial or ineffective presentation might well lead to the denial of any additional funds until 1957. Informal discussions which I have had with members of the staff of the Defense Appropriations Subcommittees indicate that it would be highly desirable to have the architects present at the hearings, together with drawings of the exterior of the building. In addition to these drawings, it was recommended that CIA present a flow chart showing the efficiency of the proposed building, and also a study of the road situation. Because of the controversy which has arisen over the proposed location of the new headquarters installation, it is strengly recommended that CIA have its consultant engineers available at the hearing together with their report on the site selection. It was recommended that note be taken of the type of questions which the Counittee members asked the Secretary of the Air Force and his architects in connection with the Senate Appropriations hearings on funds for the construction of the Air Academy. Attached are a few of the pertinent questions asked and matters discussed at those hearings in connection with the new Academy, which would appear to be equally pertinent in commention with the new OIA building.

- COPACIS TO BE SAME

 10. At such time as the Director is prepared to make his fixel. formal suncouncement on the selection of a site, it is recommended that mention certain members of the Congress of his decision just prior to or coincident with, a public announcement of the selection. It is recognished that this information be given to Chairman Russell and Senator Saltonstall of the Senate Armed Services Committee, and to Senator Stennis as Chairman of its Subcommittee on Real Estate and Military Construction. Similar notice should also go to Chairman Vinson and Congressman Short of the House Armed Services Committee. It is also recommended in this connection that the Director contact Chairman Haydan and Senator Bridges, of the Senate Appropriations Committee, as well as Senator Chaves, Chairman of its Defense Appropriations Suboussittee. In addition, the Senators from Virginia and Maryland (Senstors Ryrd, Robertson, Beall, and Butler) and Congressmen Broyhill and lankford, of Virginia and Maryland respectively, should also be mivised. Similar advice should be given to Chairman Cannon of the House Appropriations Consittee and Mr. Haber, together with Chairman Makon and Mr. Wigglesworth of the Mouse Defense Appropriations Subcommittee. It is also recommended that, prior to any formal announcement on site selection, the DCI consider the advisability of calling on either Governor Stanley of Virginia or Governor McKeldin of Maryland to advise them of CIA's decision to construct an installation in their state.
- 11. It would appear advisable to be in touch with all of the members of the Defense Appropriations Subcommittees noted above prior to any hearing on the CIA construction appropriations request through the medium of luncheons at which the building could be brought up essually, and through a formal suggestion that they may wish to visit the proposed site.

Walter L. Pforzheimer Legislative Counsel

1 Incl.

- 8744 HAY. TR. had been a second Control of the Contro

Market Str.

The state of the state of

CHAIL PRICES The state of the S